

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,352	12/08/2003	Tim A. Matus	ITW7510.064	1351
33647	7590 09/21/2004		EXAM	INER
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (ITW) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD			PASCHALL, MARK H	
MEQUON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3742	
			D. 1002 14 14 150 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>0</i>			
· 	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/707,352	MATUS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	; 			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	May 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication for a line in the line in the papplication for a line in the papplication for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	e			
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-03, 05-04. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1			
. apor motophinan Date 12.00, 00 01.	-,					

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5,7-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wilkins 769'. In column 6 lines 14-23 Wilkins teaches that the starting circuit 50 is typically at the torch assembly end of cable 16, as claimed. Note that in column 4 use of Cpu or robotic control is taught. Note that contact start energization is taught since the arc will transfer for cutting or welding start upon movement toward or contact with the work. Column 5 teaches that 15 kvolts is a pilot voltage magnitude and steady state voltage of the arc can be 500 volts, see column 5, line 6. As per claim 8 note that since the starting circuit 50 is located at the torch end of supply line 15, that noise will be reduced from passage of control signals thru cable 16. Note that Wilkins teaches in column 1 that the torch disclosed could be used for cutting, as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3742

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4,6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkins 769' in view of Ogden, Sr. et al or Jones et al 091'. Wilkins teaches the claimed subject matter except for specifying that the torch include a handle and that the starting circuit is located in such handle. Both patents to Jones et al and Ogden, Sr. et al teach location of torch starting circuits in the torch handle and use of the same leads to an efficient and maneuverable welding process, wherein more accurate control of the gas and hence the welding or cutting process is obtained. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Wilkins torch assembly to be more maneuverable by including a torch handle with the starting circuit 50 located within such handle, such modification leading to a more portable and maneuverable torch and hence a more versatile cutting torch.

As per dependent claim 6 note that Jones et al teach a handle portion 24 which basically fits within the palm of the hand controlling it and the output electrode starts at

Art Unit: 3742

As per dependent claim 6 note that Jones et al teach a handle portion 24 which basically fits within the palm of the hand controlling it and the output electrode starts at the edge of such control, 12 inches claimed for such distance obviously being depicted. Note that the output electrode MIG in Ogden, Sr. et al and Hackl, travels thru the handle and MIG indicates plasma presence, and thus 12 inches as claimed is taught.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Broberg et al, Tajbl et al and Dean et al are cited for disclosing pertinent plasma torch systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,352 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mp